

ABSTRACT

Weed management and ecophysiological studies

of *Rottboellia cochinchinensis* in *Zea mays*.

Puran Bridgemohan

Weed management and ecophysiological studies of *Rottboellia cochinchinensis* [Lour.] W.D. Clayton in *Zea mays* were conducted from 1986 to 1991. Chemical, mechanical and cultural weed control measures had no adverse effect on the growth and development of maize up to tasselling. Pendimethalin [1.5 kg a.i.ha⁻¹] and inter-row cultivation gave satisfactory control of the weed in the crop, while the other treatments were ineffective. Metolachlor improved crop yield in the wet season compared to the weed-free treatment. The critical threshold level of the weed at a crop density of 55,000 plants.ha⁻¹ was 13 plants.m⁻² and estimated crop yield of 7.2 t.ha⁻¹

After 1 year of burial, it was found that 40 - 60% of the weed seed population persisted in the soil as a result of innate (8.5%) and enforced (35%) dormancy. Seeds of the weed also showed ability to remain viable at depths of 45cm.

The presence or absence of the weed for varying periods had no significant effect on the crop plant height, net assimilation rate, relative growth rate and crop growth rate. However, there was a

consistent trend of lower leaf area index [LAI] and shoot dry weight in the weed infested treatments. The study demonstrated a critical period of weed interference during the crop growth cycle from 0 to 63 days after emergence [DAE].

Under conditions of adequate moisture and mineral nutrients supply the uptake and accumulation of mineral nutrients by the crop and weed was not affected by interspecific competition. The high crop LAI and its height advantage over the weed made it more competitive for light. However, the prolonged presence of the weed can reduce the crop LAI and result in a consequent reduction in light interception and total photosynthesis. The Relative Yield Total model suggested that the crop and weed were exploiting the resources in mutual antagonism or that allelopathy was occurring.

Preliminary experiments carried out showed that stem extracts of the weed inhibited seedling germination only, while both stem and root extracts tended to promote seedling growth. It has been suggested that experiments should be conducted using different methods of extraction and/or purification and that a possible allelopathic effect of the weed on early reproductive growth of the crop should be investigated.

It is concluded that a successful weed management strategy to reduce the negative effect of the weed on crop yield should include establishment of the crop on weed-free areas, and the use of pre-emergence/soil acting herbicides to control the establishment of weeds from the seedbank as well as post-emergence control between 5 - 60 DAE to reduce weed competition and the addition of weed

seeds to the seed bank. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I wish to express my deep gratitude to Dr. R.A.I. Braithwaite, Dr. G.P. Mc David, and Dr. I. Bekalo for their keen interest in guidance and supervision for this work and also for their kind assistance in many other ways.

Sincere thanks are also due to Dr. L. Fletcher for help in designing of experiments and Asha Hossain for computer services, to Messrs. Sattan Barywan, and Richard Sookradge, (Faculty of Agriculture), Mr. Tara Mahabir, and Christine Commissiong for their secretarial services. Mr. Muza Mohammed, J. Ragoonath, R. Sandeepchand, Roy Griffith, and Patricia Finanah, (Crop Research, Central Experiment Station), Dr. K. Barrow, (Chief Technical Officer, Ministry of Food Production and Marine Exploitation) for their unreserved cooperation at all times.

I also wish to acknowledge with deep gratitude, the love, support and encouragement of my wife Devika, and sons Rian-Sharad and Ronald S.Hensley, my parents Mr. and Mrs. Bridgeman and all my brothers and sisters, throughout the course of this undertaking. Special acknowledgments to Mr. K. Alexander and Anthony and Sabra of the U.S.A. who has contributed morally and otherwise, my love to them all.