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Origin of the Question

“I am urging every teacher education program today to make better outcomes for students the overarching mission that propels all their efforts.”
US Secretary of Education, Arne Duncan, 2009

“Meeting the expectation that all students will learn to high standards will require a transformation in the ways in which our education system attracts, prepares, supports, and develops expert teachers who can teach in more powerful ways – a transformation that depends in part on the ways in which these abilities are understood and assessed.”
Linda Darling-Hammond, 2010
Main Research Question

What is the role of the Teacher Performance Assessment in teacher education programs?
Sub Questions

- How did each program take up the TPA?

- How, if at all, did teacher educators use data generated from the TPA to inform revisions of program content, pedagogy and supervisory practices?
Review of the Literature

- A plethora of teacher assessments
  - Standardized tests, e.g. Praxis I
  - Value-added, e.g. EVAAS
  - Performance-based, e.g. PACT, BEST, NBPTS

- Teacher Performance Assessment (TPA) – a proposed national solution

- Debates about teacher performance
  - Definition of teacher quality uncertain
  - Teaching is complex; thus assessment is complex
  - Overlooks role of student learning
Teacher Performance Assessment Consortium (TPAC):
- AACTE
- CCSSO
- Stanford University

Developed, piloted, and field tested the Teacher Performance Assessment (TPA) in 25 states.
States Involved in National Assessment

Western Governors University is participating in the pilot. WGU offers an online accredited teacher preparation program in 49 states.
# TPA Evaluation Standards

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STANDARD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S1: Planning, focused, sequenced instruction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2: Using knowledge of students to inform instruction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S3: Planning assessments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S4: Engaging students in learning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S5: Deepening student learning during instruction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S6: Analyzing student work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S7: Using assessment to inform instruction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S8: Using feedback to guide further learning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S9: Monitoring student progress &amp; adjusting instruction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S10: Understanding lang. demands &amp; resources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S11: Developing students’ academic language</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TASK**

- **Planning**
- **Instruction**
- **Assessment**
- **Reflection**
- **Academic Language**
What do the Scores Mean?

- **Level 1**: The candidate has *some skill*; needs more practice to become a teacher of record.

- **Level 2**: The candidate has attained an *acceptable level of performance* sufficient for beginning teaching.

- **Level 3**: The candidate has a *solid foundation* of knowledge and skills.

- **Level 4**: The candidate demonstrated an *advanced level of proficiency*. 
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Limitations of the Study

• Structure of the pilot- cooperating teachers missing.

• Ethical considerations (IRB)-certain data not collected.

• Voluntary participation – key people missing.

• Small sample size- limited generalizability
Research Context

- The University of Wisconsin-Madison - a large, research university in the Midwest.

- Two programs participated in the pilot:
  - Science Education – TPA required
  - Elementary Education – voluntary

- All teacher candidates in their final student teaching semester.

- Political protests against State Governor’s proposed state budget cuts & removal of public sector employee rights.
Research Participants by Program

Associate Dean- Coordinator

Science Education
N=13

- Faculty: 9
- Supervisors: 2
- Teacher Candidates: 2

Elementary Education
N = 8

- Faculty: 4
- Supervisors: 1
- Teacher Candidates: 3
Teacher Educators

- **10 participants:**
  - 3 faculty members
  - 5 supervisors/raters
  - 1 supervisor/methods instructor
Methodology
Qualitative Multiple Case Study (Yin, 2009)
Data Collection and Analysis

Step 1: Collect data- observations, interviews, artifacts, documents

Step 2: Identify themes and supporting statements

Step 3: Cross-case analysis

Step 3: Conduct follow-up interviews

Step 4: Analyze data to address the research questions

Step 5: Report writing
FINDINGS
## Candidate Performance (Average)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TPA Evaluation Standard</th>
<th>Science Education</th>
<th>Elementary Education</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S1: Planning, focused, sequenced instruction</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2: Using knowledge of students to inform instr.</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S3: Planning assessments</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S4: Engaging students in learning</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S5: Deepening student learning during instruction</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S6: Analyzing student work</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S7: Using assessment to inform instruction</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S8: Using feedback to guide further learning</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S9: Monitoring student progress &amp; adjusting instr.</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S10: Understanding lang. demands &amp; resources</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S11: Developing students’ academic language</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Overall</strong></td>
<td><strong>2.2</strong></td>
<td><strong>2.5</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
General Comments

- Mirrored many current practices

- A “step up” to others
  - Higher quality video production & analysis.
  - Deeper reflection on practice
  - Better congruence among objectives, planning & assessment.
  - Greater attention to academic language
## Change Implementation (Hall & Hord, 2001)
### Science Education - Adopters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task</th>
<th>Before TPA</th>
<th>Pre-Teaching Event Preparation</th>
<th>Post TPA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lesson planning</td>
<td>Single lesson</td>
<td>Plan a unit</td>
<td>Mini-Unit Design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scientific Inquiry</td>
<td>Just talked about it</td>
<td>Revisited in methods course</td>
<td>Integral part of methods course - Mini Unit Teaching</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Videotape a lesson</td>
<td>Of varied quality</td>
<td>Of specific quality</td>
<td>Mini-Unit Reflection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assessment &amp; Reflection</td>
<td>TPA reqs. already in methods course</td>
<td>Not much more</td>
<td>Critical Friends Groups &amp; Explanations Trackers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Academic Language</td>
<td>At the level of science jargon</td>
<td>Not in significant detail</td>
<td>Instructor modeled TPA language in methods course</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supervision</td>
<td>Four observations</td>
<td>One of 4 obs. was a TPA lesson</td>
<td>Ongoing collaboration</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
‘Change’ Implementation (Hall & Hord, 2001)  
Elementary Education - Avoiders

- TPA incidental to existing agenda of the program.
- No supervisor & faculty participation.
- Fear, suspicion & ‘eye-rolling.’
- Clare, Lorraine & some CTs trained/supported the volunteers (intervention).
- High attrition among participants.
- No post-TPA information forthcoming.
- Post-TPA change at individual, reflective level.
What do these Findings tell us?

What is the role of the TPA in teacher education programs?

- Summative
- Diagnostic
- Confirmatory
- For Professional Development
- For Learning & Self Assessment

Recommendations for Programs

- Faculty buy-in (Elementary Ed.)
- Horizontal change (Science Ed.)
- Continuous professional dev. (All)
- TPA Coordinator
- Develop/Expand Embedded Signature Assessments (ESAs)
- Social justice
- Classroom observations
- Re-think candidate placements

Recommendations for Future Research

- Follow-up study on the field test in the Elementary Education and Science Education programs to increase generalizability.
- How academic language might improve outcomes for students.
- Comparison with other TPA pilots around the country for similarities and differences
CONCLUSIONS
Why is this Important?

“I don’t know if I can stress this enough. I’m Chicken Little right now. The sky is falling on Teacher Education. If we don’t get these things up and running (and I see we are losing high quality teachers), we will cease to exist. I’m hearing that in every quarter of the nation”

(Clare, pilot coordinator).
Policy calls for it!

“The TPA will be required for licensure for candidates who complete programs after August 31, 2015.”

(Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction)
Better Stewardship. Stronger Workforce.

The 2011-2013 Wisconsin Budget Bill permitted the university to develop its own personnel system. A compensation system that is more driven by market and performance factors, a more flexible hiring system, realigning vacation and sick leave into a single system, and redefining the academic staff employee category are among the first draft recommendations from the teams working on the HR Design project.

David Ward, Interim Chancellor
City University
Programs will be made Accountable

“The Secretary of Education’s new blueprint for teacher education is to evaluate and rank teacher education programs in universities based on the standardized test scores of the pupils taught by their graduates” (Zeichner, 2012).

Arne Duncan
US Secretary of Education
S. 1250: GREAT* Teachers and Principals Act, 2011

Authorizes the Secretary of Education to award grants to states to create or approve teacher or principal preparation academies (charter teacher ed. schools).

* Growing Excellent Achievement Training Academies
Therefore...

University teacher education programs **must** seek to remain relevant in this hostile policy environment.
Implications for the Caribbean

- There can be adaptations of the TPA within teacher education programs in the Caribbean:
  - diagnostic
  - video analyses
  - focus on the academic language within classrooms
  - professional development of teacher educators
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